SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	V YORK
VASILIKI GIANNAKOS, Plaintiff,	: Index No.
v.	: : VERIFIED COMPLAINT : :
Defendants.	• : : :

Plaintiff Vasiliki Giannakos, by and through her undersigned attorneys, brings this Complaint against and alleges as follows:

### NATURE OF THE CLAIMS

1.

Vasiliki Giannakos ("Giannakos" or "Plaintiff"), a victim of domestic violence,

brings this action against her former employers,

(collectively, "Defendants"), to
seek relief for Defendants' violation of her legal rights. When Giannakos, a victim of domestic
violence, was absent from work for one and one-half days after an attack to receive medical care
and meet with the prosecutor, she was terminated by Defendants, despite having explained to
Defendants the reason for her absence. Defendants terminated Giannakos' employment because
of her status as a victim of domestic violence, and refused to make reasonable accommodations
in violation of New York City's Human Rights Law. Defendants' discharge of Giannakos
because she was absent from work in order to confer with a prosecutor regarding charges against
her attacker was further wrongful and in violation of New York State Penal Law because she had

given them prior notice of her absence and the reason for it.

- 2. Defendants' acts violated the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107 *et seq.*, and New York State Penal Law, N.Y. C.L.S. Penal § 215.14.
- 3. Giannakos seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, compensatory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and other legal and equitable relief.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-502. This Court has jurisdiction over defendants pursuant to C.P.L.R. §§ 301 and 302. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 503(a) and (c) because upon information and belief, is the county of residence and the principal place of business of one or more Defendants.

#### **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff Vasiliki Giannakos ("Plaintiff" or Giannakos) at all times relevant to this action resided in and currently resides in New York, New York, and was an employee of

from on or about February 14, 2007 to on or about February 23, 2007.

- 6. Giannakos at all relevant times was a victim of domestic violence within the meaning of N.Y.C. Admin Code § 8-107.1.
- 7. Defendant is a limited liability corporation doing business under the laws of the State of . Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business in . Defendant is, and was at all times pertinent to this action, an "employer" within the meaning of N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-102.

	8.	Defendant	is a limited liability
corpor	ation do	oing business under the laws of the State of	. Upon information and
belief,	it main	tains its principal place of business in	. Upon information
and be	elief, De	efendant	
			. Defendant
is, and	l was at	all times pertinent to this action, an "employer" with	in the meaning of N.Y.C.
Admir	ı. Code	§ 8-102.	
	9.	Defendant	is a limited
liabilit	ty corpo	oration doing business under the laws of the State of	. Upon information
and be	elief, it 1	maintains its principal place of business in	. Upon
inform	nation a	nd belief, Defendant	
			. Upon
inform	nation a	nd belief, Defendant is, and w	as at all times pertinent to this
action,	, an "en	nployer" within the meaning of N.Y.C. Admin. Code	§ 8-102.
	10.	Defendant	
		. Upon information and belief, he maintains	his principal place of business
in			
	11.	Defendant	
	. Upon	information and belief, she maintains her principal p	place of business in

### **FACTS**

12.	In January 2007, Giannakos applied for a job as an administrative assistant to
Defendant	
	. In addition
to interviewin	g with of of the same of the s
Giannakos als	so interviewed with .
13.	On February 12, 2007, offered Giannakos a position as an administrative
assistant to	at . Giannakos began work on
February 14, 2	2007, with a salary of \$30,000, plus benefits after 6 months.
14.	Giannakos worked with . She was a diligent employee, coming
in the weeken	d after beginning work to receive training at and on President's Day,
February 19, 2	2007, to help with projects.
15.	On the night of Wednesday, February 21, 2007, Giannakos was assaulted by her
boyfriend, wit	th whom she was cohabiting. Her boyfriend assaulted Giannakos by smashing her
cell phone into	o her face, breaking the phone and causing serious injuries to Giannakos' face.
16.	Giannakos immediately reported the assault to the police and went to a police
station shortly	thereafter. After filing a police report, she was taken by ambulance to St.
Vincent's Hos	spital in Manhattan for medical assistance. She was accompanied by her
godparents, Pa	aul Kipriadis and Theresa Gretsas.
17.	At approximately 7 a.m. on Thursday, February 22, 2007, while she was still in
the hospital be	eing treated for her injuries from the night before, Giannakos called of
usin	g her godmother's cell phone. Giannakos informed that she had been
assaulted, her	phone had been destroyed in the assault, and she was at the hospital waiting for

medical assistance. Indicated that she would call Giannakos's supervisor, and relay that information.

- Defendants that she had been the victim of domestic violence by calling and explaining that she had been assaulted by her boyfriend. Giannakos also informed that she had obtained a protective order, and notified that she could not be at work the following day because of the domestic violence. Specifically, Giannakos told that she was meeting with an Assistant District Attorney ("ADA") the following day to assist in prosecuting her boyfriend. Giannakos also called and provided the same information, and gave both and her godmother's telephone numbers as her temporary contact information.

  19. On the morning of Friday, February 23, 2007, Giannakos called and At that time, they expressed concern for her well-being and assured her that she was an excellent employee with a bright future.
- 21. Upon information and belief, before told Giannakos that her employment had been terminated, he knew that Giannakos was absent from work on February 22, 2007 because she was a victim of domestic violence and that she was absent from work on February 23, 2007 because she was meeting with a prosecutor to pursue charges arising from the violence.

- 22. Immediately after speaking to Giannakos informed ADA Lenzner that her employment had just been terminated. ADA Lenzner called to advise him that terminating the employment of a crime victim such as Giannakos for taking time from work to meet with an ADA violated New York State law. Upon information and belief, nevertheless responded that he was standing by his decision to discharge Giannakos.
- 23. Giannakos' termination violated New York State Penal Law § 215.14, which prohibits an employer from discharging an employee who is a victim of a crime for an absence, when the employee notifies the employer in advance that she will be absent from work to consult with the district attorney.
- 24. Giannakos requested and was denied a reasonable accommodation needed because of domestic violence, namely, two days leave from her job to secure medical treatment and to cooperate with prosecution of the domestic violence assault.
- 25. If Defendants had granted Giannakos the two days leave she needed as a reasonable accommodation, it would have enabled Giannakos to satisfy the essential requisites of her job by returning to work on Monday, February 26, 2007. In fact, when Giannakos spoke to on February 22, prior to her termination, Giannakos offered to reschedule an appointment with a plastic surgeon that had been made for the following Monday, February 26, so that she could return to work on February 26.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Employment Discrimination Against a Victim of Domestic Violence in Violation of New York City Human Rights Law)

26. Plaintiff hereby repeats and reincorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.

- 27. Defendants unlawfully discriminated against Plaintiff because of her status as a victim of domestic violence in violation of the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code §§ 8-107.1(1) and 8-107.1(2).
- 28. Defendants engaged in such discriminatory conduct intentionally and maliciously, and showed a deliberate, willful, wanton and reckless disregard of Plaintiff and her rights under the New York City Human Rights Law.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages and injury, including emotional distress.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodation to a Victim of Domestic Violence in Violation of New York City Human Rights Law)

- 30. Plaintiff hereby repeats and reincorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Defendants violated the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107.1(3), by failing to provide Plaintiff, a victim of domestic violence, with a reasonable accommodation requested by Plaintiff.
- 32. Defendants engaged in such unlawful conduct intentionally and maliciously, and showed a deliberate, willful, wanton and reckless disregard of Plaintiff and her rights under the New York City Human Rights Law.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages and injury, including emotional distress.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Aiding and Abetting in Violation of New York City Human Rights Law)

34. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.

- Defendants in their discrimination against and failure to provide reasonable accommodations for Plaintiff, a victim of domestic violence, in violation of the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107(6).
- and abetting intentionally and maliciously, and showed a deliberate, willful, wanton and reckless disregard of Plaintiff and her rights under the New York City Human Rights Law.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants
  's unlawful aiding and abetting, Plaintiff has suffered damages and injury, including emotional distress.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Wrongful Discharge in Violation of New York State Penal Law)

- 38. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendants violated New York State Penal Law, N.Y. C.L.S. Penal § 215.14, by wrongfully discharging Plaintiff, a victim of crime, for being absent from work to meet with an assistant district attorney regarding the prosecution of her attacker, after Plaintiff had notified Defendants that she would be absent and the reason for her absence prior to the day of her meeting with the assistant district attorney.
- 40. Defendants engaged in such unlawful conduct intentionally and maliciously, and showed a deliberate, willful, wanton and reckless disregard of Plaintiff and her rights under New York State law.

41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages and injury, including emotional distress.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendants, and grant the following relief:

- A. A declaratory judgment that the actions, conduct, and practices of Defendants complained of herein violate the laws of the City and State of New York;
- B. An injunction and order permanently restraining Defendants from engaging in such unlawful conduct;
- C. An order directing that Defendants, in cooperation with Plaintiff and her counsel, develop a training for all managers and employees at regarding domestic violence, safety and non-discrimination in the workplace, and implement a comprehensive policy about domestic violence and the workplace;
- D. An award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus prejudgment interest, to compensate Plaintiff for all monetary and/or economic damages, including but not limited to, the loss of past and future income, wages, compensation, seniority, and other benefits of employment;
- E. An award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus prejudgment interest, to compensate Plaintiff for all non-monetary damages, including but not limited to, compensation for her severe mental anguish and emotional distress, including but not limited to humiliation, embarrassment, stress, anxiety, loss of self-esteem, self-confidence and personal dignity, and emotional pain and suffering, and any other physical and mental injuries;

- F. An award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- G. An award of attorneys' fees, and costs and expenses incurred in the prosecution of the action, and;
  - H. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues of facts and damages stated herein.

#### LEGAL MOMENTUM

By: /s/Maya Raghu Maya Raghu Jennifer K. Brown 395 Hudson Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10014 (212) 925-6635

Attorneys for Plaintiff Vasiliki Giannakos

Dated: October 19, 2007